

CC 94-129

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

From: <SHSCurious@aol.com>
To: FCCMAIL.SMTPNLM("slamming@comments.fcc.gov")
Date: 8/7/97 11:01am
Subject: curious pictures corp

I would like to go on record as having a formal complaint on the subject of slamming. My company is based in New York City and employs 100 people. We have been slammed twice in the past 2 months, despite having a pic freeze on our account with Nynex. We have lost all of our ability to charge clients for long distance since it takes AT&T two weeks to get our account codes going again. This has cost my company thousands of dollars and NYNEX and AT&T say there is nothing they can do about it.

Please do something. This should be against the law. It is so easy to trace the culprits. The little guy has no choice but to pay our phone bill. We cannot risk a fight resulting in no phone service.

Help!

Susan Holden
Chief Financial Officer
Curious Pictures Corporation

RECEIVED

AUG - 7 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

3

Received: From [165.135.0.253] gatekeeper2.fcc.gov
By mail.fcc.gov (GroupWise SMTP/MIME daemon 4.11)
Thu, 7 Aug 97 11:02:16 EDT
Received: by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov; id LAA05643; Thu, 7 Aug 1997 11:03:18 -0400 (EDT)
From: <SHSCurious@aol.com>
Received: from emout01.mx.aol.com(198.81.11.92) by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov via smap (3.2)
id xma005639; Thu, 7 Aug 97 11:03:06 -0400
Received: (from root@localhost)
by emout01.mail.aol.com (8.7.6/8.7.3/AOL-2.0.0)
id LAA09146 for slamming@comments.fcc.gov;
Thu, 7 Aug 1997 11:01:37 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Thu, 7 Aug 1997 11:01:37 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <970807110127_-587996238@emout01.mail.aol.com>
To: slamming@comments.fcc.gov
Subject: curious pictures corp

From: Lloyd M. Ross <lmross@raci.com>
To: FCCMAIL.SMTPNLM("slamming@comments.fcc.gov")
Date: 8/7/97 10:00am
Subject: Slamming and long distance sales tactics in general

While I have not yet been slammed, knock on wood, only because I have been vigilant with the phone calls that I have received from the long distance carriers or their tele-marketers. The typical call I receive has a blocked caller ID and a marketer that states she/he needs my name and address to talk about something I have. The most egregious by far are those calling for AT&T. It is like pulling teeth just to find out whom they represent. Most hang up as soon as you start a line of questioning on what the phone call represents.

I believe these companies should be REQUIRED to have the caller ID go through and to identify the exact purpose of the phone call IMMEDIATELY. These two things would make slamming more difficult, would allow people to decide if they want the phone call, and allow recourse for those calls that are illegal or just plain annoying.

These are simple solutions that do not infringe on capitalism or peoples privacy.

Thank you for letting me unload.

Lloyd Ross

RECEIVED

AUG - 7 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

3

Received: From [165.135.0.253] gatekeeper2.fcc.gov
By mail.fcc.gov (GroupWise SMTP/MIME daemon 4.11)
Thu, 7 Aug 97 10:02:04 EDT
Received: by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov; id KAA04130; Thu, 7 Aug 1997 10:03:05 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from raci.com(205.217.114.1) by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov via smap (3.2)
id xma004124; Thu, 7 Aug 97 10:02:42 -0400
Received: from lm_home ([205.217.114.13]) by racvsi.raci.com
(Post.Office MTA v3.0 release 0122 ID# 0-0U10L2S100) with ESMTP
id AAA293 for <slamming@comments.fcc.gov>;
Thu, 7 Aug 1997 09:00:33 -0500
From: lmross@raci.com (Lloyd M. Ross)
To: <slamming@comments.fcc.gov>
Subject: Slamming and long distance sales tactics in general
Date: Thu, 7 Aug 1997 09:00:38 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1160
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <19970807140033859.AAA293@lm_home>

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CC 94-129

From: kgordin <kgordin@safeharbors.com>
To: FCCMAIL.SMTPNLM("slamming@comments.fcc.gov")
Date: 8/7/97 2:09pm
Subject: There oughta be a law!

I was slammed by AT&T six months ago. After numerous phone calls to their 800 number, I finally reached a "supervisor" who acted like she had never, ever heard of such a practice! You need to put some economic sanctions in place to prevent this from happening.

RECEIVED

AUG - 7 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 3
List ABCDE

Received: From [165.135.0.253] gatekeeper2.fcc.gov
By mail.fcc.gov (GroupWise SMTP/MIME daemon 4.11)
Thu, 7 Aug 97 14:10:35 EDT
Received: by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov; id OAA11316; Thu, 7 Aug 1997 14:11:36 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from home.atlantech.net(208.198.56.5) by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov via smap (3.2)
id xma011312; Thu, 7 Aug 97 14:11:14 -0400
Received: from mail.atlantech.net (dialup23.atlantech.net [208.198.63.23])
by home.atlantech.net (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id OAA02198
for <slamming@comments.fcc.gov>; Thu, 7 Aug 1997 14:09:46 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Thu, 7 Aug 1997 14:09:46 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <199708071809.OAA02198@home.atlantech.net>
From: kgordin <kgordin@safeharbors.com>
Subject: There oughta be a law!
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
To: slamming@comments.fcc.gov